

Weekly Exercises 3

Room: 02.09.023

Wednesday, 09.05.2018, 12:15-14:00

Submission deadline: Monday, 07.05.2018, 16:15, Room 02.09.023

Subdifferential

(12+6 Points)

Exercise 1 (4 Points). Let the convex function $J : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be differentiable at $u \in \text{int}(\text{dom}(J))$. Show that

$$\partial J(u) = \{\nabla J(u)\}.$$

Hint: Use the definition of the subdifferential and the directional derivative. For J being differentiable at the interior of its domain, some direction $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and some point $u \in \text{int}(\text{dom}(J))$ the directional derivative $\partial_v J$ of J is given as

$$\partial_v J(u) := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{J(u + \epsilon v) - J(u)}{\epsilon} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{J(u) - J(u - \epsilon v)}{\epsilon} = \langle \nabla J(u), v \rangle.$$

Solution. Recall that the subdifferential $\partial J(u)$ of some convex J at $u \in \text{dom}(J)$ is given as

$$\{p \in \mathbb{R}^n : J(v) \geq J(u) + \langle p, v - u \rangle, \forall v \in \text{dom}(J)\}.$$

Since $u \in \text{int}(\text{dom}(J))$, we find that for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $u + \epsilon v \in \text{dom}(J)$ for ϵ small enough since the interior of a set is open. By the definition of the subdifferential, we have that if $p \in \partial J(u)$ then

$$J(u + \epsilon v) \geq J(u) + \epsilon \langle p, v \rangle, \quad J(u - \epsilon v) \geq J(u) - \epsilon \langle p, v \rangle,$$

for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and ϵ small enough. This implies that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{J(u + \epsilon v) - J(u)}{\epsilon} \geq \langle p, v \rangle, \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{J(u) - J(u - \epsilon v)}{\epsilon} \leq \langle p, v \rangle,$$

which means (using the hint)

$$\langle \nabla J(u), v \rangle \geq \langle p, v \rangle, \quad \langle \nabla J(u), v \rangle \leq \langle p, v \rangle$$

or

$$\langle \nabla J(u) - p, v \rangle \geq 0, \quad \langle \nabla J(u) - p, v \rangle \leq 0$$

for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For the particular choice of $v := \nabla J(u) - p$ we have that

$$\langle \nabla J(u) - p, \nabla J(u) - p \rangle = \|\nabla J(u) - p\|_2^2 = 0$$

which means $p = \nabla J(u)$. Clearly, $\partial J(u)$ is non-empty (and bounded) since $u \in \text{int}(\text{dom}(x))$ implies $u \in \text{ri}(\text{dom}(x))$ (see Thm. Subdifferentiability). Together this concludes the proof.

Exercise 2 (8 Points). Compute the subdifferential of the following functions:

- $J : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, J(u) = \|u\|_1$.
- $J : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, J(u) = \|u\|_\infty$.
- $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}, J(u) = \delta_C(u)$ for a closed convex set $C \subset \mathbb{E}$.
- $J : \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, J(X) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (X_{i,j})^2 \right)^{1/2}$.

Solution. First, we show that in general it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \|\cdot\| (x) &= \{p \in \mathbb{E} : \langle p, x \rangle = \|x\|, \|p\|_* \leq 1\} \\ &\stackrel{\text{w.t.s.}}{=} \{p \in \mathbb{E} : \|x\| + \langle p, y - x \rangle \leq \|y\|, \forall y \in \mathbb{E}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

for a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on an Euclidean space \mathbb{E} . The dual norm $\|p\|_*$ is defined as

$$\|p\|_* = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \langle p, x \rangle.$$

If $x = 0$ and assume p is one of the subdifferential, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p \in \partial \|\cdot\| (0) &\Leftrightarrow \langle p, y \rangle \leq \|y\|, \forall y \in \mathbb{E} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \frac{\langle p, y \rangle}{\|y\|} \leq 1, \forall y \neq 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \sup_{y \neq 0} \frac{\langle p, y \rangle}{\|y\|} \leq 1 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \sup_{\|y\|=1} \langle p, y \rangle \leq 1 \Leftrightarrow \|p\|_* \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

For $x \neq 0$, we need a generalized Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \|x\| \left\langle \frac{x}{\|x\|}, y \right\rangle \leq \|x\| \cdot \sup_{\|z\| \leq 1} \langle z, y \rangle = \|x\| \|y\|_*, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{E}. \tag{2}$$

Now take $p \in \mathbb{E}$ with $\langle p, x \rangle = \|x\|, \|p\|_* \leq 1$. Then we have

$$\langle p, y - x \rangle + \|x\| = \langle p, y \rangle - \langle p, x \rangle + \|x\| = \langle p, y \rangle \leq \|y\| \|p\|_* \leq \|y\|, \forall y \in \mathbb{E}.$$

Hence $p \in \partial \|\cdot\| (x)$. Conversely take $p \in \partial \|\cdot\| (x)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p, y - x \rangle + \|x\| &\leq \|y\|, \forall y \in \mathbb{E} \\ \Leftrightarrow \|x\| - \langle p, x \rangle + \sup_y \langle p, y \rangle - \|y\| &\leq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The supremum evaluates as

$$\sup_y \langle p, y \rangle - \|y\| = \begin{cases} 0, & \|p\|_* \leq 1 \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We show this as the following. Assume $\|p\|_* > 1$. Hence there is some vector $z \in \mathbb{E}$, $\|z\| \leq 1$ and $\langle p, z \rangle > 1$. It can be seen that the above supremum is unbounded, i.e. take some $y = tz$, $t(\langle p, z \rangle - \|z\|) \rightarrow \infty$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$. Now take $\|p\|_* \leq 1$, then we have $\langle p, y \rangle - \|y\| \leq \|y\| (\|p\|_* - 1) \leq 0$, where equality holds for $y = 0$.

Furthermore, we have

$$0 \geq -\langle p, x \rangle + \|x\| \geq -\|x\| \|p\|_* + \|x\| = \|x\| (1 - \|p\|_*) \geq 0$$

Hence $-\langle p, x \rangle + \|x\| = 0$ which implies $\|x\| = \langle p, x \rangle$.

- The dual norm of $\|\cdot\|_1$ is clearly $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ and vice versa. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \|\cdot\|_1(x) &= \{p \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|p\|_\infty \leq 1, \langle p, x \rangle = \|x\|_1\}, \\ &= \left\{ p \in \mathbb{R}^n : \begin{cases} p_i \in [-1, 1], & \text{if } x_i = 0 \\ p_i = \text{sign}(x_i), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$\partial \|\cdot\|_\infty(x) = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|p\|_1 \leq 1, \langle p, x \rangle = \|x\|_\infty\}. \quad (5)$$

- Take a point $u \in \text{dom } J$. Then the subgradients $g \in \partial J(u)$ fulfill

$$\langle g, v - u \rangle \leq 0, \forall v \in C \Leftrightarrow g \in N_C(u).$$

Hence $\partial J(u) = N_C(u)$.

- We rewrite the original problem into $J(X) = \sum_{i=1}^m (\|X_i\|_2)$, where X_i is the i -th row of X . We can apply sum rule on it and get

$$\partial J(X) = \sum_{i=1}^m \partial \|X_i\|_2.$$

Now the problem is given a vector x , compute the subdifferential of its ℓ_2 norm. We consider this problem elementwisely and if $x \neq 0$, we can easily get $\partial \|x\|_2 = \frac{x}{\|x\|}$. Now consider when $x = 0$. Recall the definition of subdifferential:

$$\partial \|0\|_2 = \{p \in \mathbb{E} : \|y\|_2 \geq \langle p, y \rangle, \forall y \in \mathbb{E}\}.$$

If $\|p\| \leq 1$, we have $\|y\|_2 \geq \|p\|_2 \|y\|_2 \geq \langle p, y \rangle$. Therefore, such p is in $\partial \|0\|_2$. Otherwise, for $\|p\| > 1$, choose $y = p$, the inequality doesn't hold any more. Denote $B_1(0) := \{p \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|p\|_2 \leq 1\}$. To summary, we have following equation:

$$\partial \|x\|_2 = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{\|x\|_2}, & x \neq 0 \\ B_1(0), & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Substitute this back into original problem and write into matrix format, we can get

$$\partial J(X) = \{P \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, P_i \in \partial \|X_i\|_2\}.$$

Exercise 3 (6 points). Compute the subdifferential of nuclear norm:

$$X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mapsto \|X\|_{\text{nuclear}} = \sum_i \sigma_i(X),$$

i.e., sum of singular values.

Hint: Show that the subdifferential at point $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with $s \geq 0$ zero singular values is given as

$$\partial \|\cdot\|_{\text{nuc}}(X) = \left\{ U_1 V_1^\top + U_2 M V_2^\top : M \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times s}, \|M\|_{\text{spec}} \leq 1 \right\}, \quad (6)$$

where $U = [U_1 \ U_2]$ and $V = [V_1 \ V_2]$ are given by the singular value decomposition of $X = U \Sigma V^\top$, with U_1 and V_1 having $n - s$ columns. Furthermore $\|\cdot\|_{\text{spec}}$ denotes the spectral norm, i.e., the largest singular value.

Solution. Denote by $\langle X, Y \rangle = \text{tr}(X^T Y)$. First we show that the dual norm of the nuclear norm is the spectral norm, i.e.,

$$\sup_{\sum_i \sigma_i(Y) \leq 1} \langle X, Y \rangle = \sigma_1(X).$$

Clearly, $\sup_{\sum_i \sigma_i(Y) \leq 1} \langle X, Y \rangle \geq \sigma_1(X)$ since the supremum is bigger than the function at the feasible candidate $Y = u_1 v_1^T$ (for $X = U \Sigma V^T$) for which the supremum evaluates to $\langle u_1 v_1^T, U \Sigma V^T \rangle = \sigma_1(X)$. The other inequality (again with $X = U \Sigma V^T$) follows from von Neumann's trace inequality $\text{tr}(AB) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(A) \sigma_i(B)$.

$$\sup_{\sum_i \sigma_i(Y) \leq 1} \langle Y, X \rangle = \sup_{\sum_i \sigma_i(Y) \leq 1} \text{tr}(Y^T X) \leq \sup_{\sum_i \sigma_i(Y) \leq 1} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(X) \sigma_i(Y) = \sigma_1(X). \quad (7)$$

Hence, from the previous solution, it then follows that

$$\partial \|X\|_{\text{nuc}} = \{Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : \langle X, Y \rangle = \|X\|_{\text{nuc}}, \|Y\|_{\text{spec}} \leq 1\}. \quad (8)$$

We finish the proof by showing that (6) and (8) are the same. Denote by $X = U_1 \Sigma V_1^T$ denote the compact SVD of X .

First we take some Y that satisfies (8), i.e., $\langle X, Y \rangle = \|X\|_{\text{nuc}}$ and $\|Y\|_{\text{spec}} \leq 1$ and show it is in (6). For that, consider the subspace $S = \{U_1 W V_1^T : W \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}\}$ where $r = n - s$ and its orthogonal complement $S^\perp = \{U_2 M V_2^T : M \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times s}\}$. Then we can write $Y = \Pi_S(Y) + \Pi_{S^\perp}(Y) = U_1 W V_1^T + U_2 M V_2^T$ for some W and M .

Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Y, X \rangle &= \langle U_1 W V_1^T + U_2 M V_2^T, U_1 \Sigma V_1^T \rangle = \langle U_1 W V_1^T, U_1 \Sigma V_1^T \rangle \\ &= \text{tr}(V_1^T W^T U_1^T U \Sigma V_1) = \text{tr}(W^T \Sigma) \stackrel{\text{assumption}}{=} \text{tr}(\Sigma) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

we can conclude that $W = I$ and hence $Y = U_1 V_1^T + U_2 M V_2^T$. Since projections always have Lipschitz constant less or equal one we have that

$$\|M\|_{\text{spec}} = \|U_2 M V_2^T\|_{\text{spec}} = \|\Pi_{S^\perp}(Y)\|_{\text{spec}} \leq \|Y\|_{\text{spec}} \stackrel{\text{assumption}}{\leq} 1,$$

where we used the unitary invariance of the spectral norm in the first equality.

Conversely take some $U_1V_1^T + U_2MV_2^T$ from (6) with $\|M\|_{\text{spec}} \leq 1$ and $X = U_1\Sigma V_1^T$. We show that it satisfies (8):

$$\langle U_1V_1^T + U_2MV_2^T, U_1\Sigma V_1^T \rangle = \text{tr}(V_1U_1^T U \Sigma V_1^T) = \text{tr}(\Sigma) = \|X\|_{\text{nuc}}.$$

For the spectral norm we use the fact that if $\|Ax\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2$, then $\|A\|_{\text{spec}} \leq 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|(U_1V_1^T + U_2MV_2^T)x\|^2 &= \langle U_1V_1^T x + U_2MV_2^T x, U_1V_1^T x + U_2MV_2^T x \rangle \\ &= \langle x, (U_1V_1^T + U_2MV_2^T)^T (U_1V_1^T + U_2MV_2^T)x \rangle \\ &= \langle x, (V_1U_1^T U_1V_1^T x) + \langle x, V_2M^T U_2^T U_2MV_2^T x \rangle \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\langle x, V_1U_1^T U_2MV_2^T x \rangle + \langle x, V_2M^T U_2^T U_1V_1^T x \rangle}_{=0} \\ &= \langle V_1^T x, V_1^T x \rangle + \langle MV_2^T x, MV_2^T x \rangle \\ &= \|V_1^T x_1\|^2 + \|MV_2^T x_2\|^2 \\ &\stackrel{\text{assumption}}{\leq} \|x_1\|^2 + \|x_2\|^2 = \|x\|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where we decomposed $x = x_1 + x_2$ onto the subspace spanned by V_2^T and its orthogonal complement in the second to last step.